

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,531	Applicant(s) KHANDELWAL ET AL.	
	Examiner Susan T. Tran	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) Donald Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporate claims 2 and 5 into claim 1; 2) delete the word "substantially" in claim 3; and 3) cancel claims 2, 4 and 5. Applicant's attorney referred to the use of starch and lactose at page 7 and the examples, and suggested the inert fiber matrix comprises mixutre of excipient and HPMC. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment. .